

UDC: 517.54

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30546/09090.2025.002.10.9062>

GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH BOREL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

Sercan KAZIMOĞLU^{1*}

Kafkas University

sercan.kazimoglu@kafkas.edu.tr

Kars-Türkiye

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<p><i>Article history:</i> Received:2025-12-18 Received in revised form:2025-12-18 Accepted:2025-12-24 Available online</p> <hr/> <p><i>Keywords:</i> Analytic function; Borel distribution; Strongly starlike and convex; Coefficient estimates; Integral representation.</p> <p>2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30C45</p>	<p><i>In this paper, we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for the power series $f(z)$ whose coefficients are probabilities of the Borel distribution and the differential operator to be in the family $J_s(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of analytic functions which defined in the open unit disk. We derive a number of important geometric properties, such as, coefficient estimates, integral representation, radii of starlikeness and convexity. Also we discuss the extreme points and neighborhood property for functions belongs to this family.</i></p>

1. Introduction

Indicate by A_p the family of all functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (34)$$

which are analytic and multivalent in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Also, let W_p denote the subfamily of A_p consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (35)$$

The function $f \in W_p$ is said to be starlike of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < p$) if it satisfies the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (z \in U).$$

A function $f \in W_p$ is said to be convex of order $\rho (0 \leq \rho < p)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (z \in U).$$

A function $f \in W_p$ is said to be close-to-convex of order $\rho (0 \leq \rho < p)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right\} > \rho \quad (z \in U).$$

Let the function f and g be analytic in U . We say that the function f is subordinate to g , if there exists a Schwarz function w analytic in U with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. This subordinate is denoted by $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$). It is well known that (see [7]), if the function g is univalent in U then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

Denote by $S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ the families of starlike and convex functions of order ρ , respectively. These families were introduced and studied by Silverman [10].

The elementary distributions such as the Poisson, the Pascal, the Logarithmic, the Binomial have been partially studied in the Geometric Function Theory from a theoretical point of view (see [1,4,5,8,9,11,12]).

A discrete random variable x is said to have a Borel distribution if it takes the values $1, 2, 3, \dots$ with the probabilities $\frac{e^{-\lambda}}{1!}, \frac{2\lambda e^{-2\lambda}}{2!}, \frac{9\lambda^2 e^{-3\lambda}}{3!}, \dots$ respectively, where λ is called the parameter.

Very recently, Wanas and Khuttar [13] introduced the Borel distribution (BD) whose probability mass function is

$$P(x=r) = \frac{(\lambda r)^{r-1} e^{-\lambda r}}{r!}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Wanas and Khuttar [13] introduced a series whose coefficients are probabilities of the Borel distribution (BD)

$$M_p(\lambda; z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda(n-p)^{n-p-1} e^{-\lambda(n-p)}]}{(n-p)!} z^n, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $\delta \geq 0$, Amini et. al. [2] defined the linear operator $B_{\lambda, \delta}^m f(z): W_p \rightarrow W_p$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_p(\lambda; z) * f(z) &:= B_{\lambda, \delta}^0 f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda(n-p)^{n-p-1} e^{-\lambda(n-p)}}{(n-p)!} \right] a_n z^n \\
 B_{\lambda, \delta}^1 f(z) &= (1-\delta) B_{\lambda, \delta}^0 f(z) + \frac{\delta}{p} z (B_{\lambda, \delta}^0 f(z))' \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda(n-p)^{n-p-1} e^{-\lambda(n-p)}}{(n-p)!} \right] \left[1 + \delta \left(\frac{n}{p} - 1 \right) \right] a_n z^n \\
 B_{\lambda, \delta}^2 f(z) &= (1-\delta) B_{\lambda, \delta}^1 f(z) + \frac{\delta}{p} z (B_{\lambda, \delta}^1 f(z))' \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda(n-p)^{n-p-1} e^{-\lambda(n-p)}}{(n-p)!} \right] \left[1 + \delta \left(\frac{n}{p} - 1 \right) \right]^2 a_n z^n \\
 &\vdots \\
 B_{\lambda, \delta}^m f(z) &= (1-\delta) B_{\lambda, \delta}^{m-1} f(z) + \frac{\delta}{p} z (B_{\lambda, \delta}^{m-1} f(z))' \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda(n-p)^{n-p-1} e^{-\lambda(n-p)}}{(n-p)!} \right] \left[1 + \delta \left(\frac{n}{p} - 1 \right) \right]^m a_n z^n \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_n z^n, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_n \geq 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, $z \in U$ and

$$\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) := \left[\frac{\lambda(n-p)^{n-p-1} e^{-\lambda(n-p)}}{(n-p)!} \right] \left[1 + \delta \left(\frac{n}{p} - 1 \right) \right]^m.$$

We now recall the following Lemmas that will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 1: [6] If f and g are analytic in U with $f \prec g$, then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta$$

where $\mu > 0$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $0 < r < 1$.

Lemma 2: [3] Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Then $\operatorname{Re}(w) > \alpha$ if and only if $|w - (p + \alpha)| < |w + (p - \alpha)|$, where w be any complex number.

2. Main Results

We begin this section by defining the family $J_\delta(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ as follows:

Definition 1: A function f of the form (35) is said to be in the class $J_\delta(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))''}{\gamma z \left[(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))'' \right] + (1-\gamma) \left[\beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + (1-\beta) \mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z) \right]} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (36)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

Theorem 1: Let $f \in \mathbf{W}_p$ then $f \in \mathbf{J}_\delta(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)a_n \leq (\gamma(p-1)+1)(\rho-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta) \quad (37)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $\delta \geq 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)} z^n, \quad n \geq p+1, p \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (38)$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathbf{J}_\delta(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, so we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))''}{\gamma z \left[(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))'' \right] + (1-\gamma) \left[\beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + (1-\beta) \mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z) \right]} \right\} > \alpha.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{pz^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) na_n z^n + \gamma p(p-1)z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) \gamma n(n-1)a_n z^n}{\gamma pz^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) \gamma na_n z^n + \gamma \beta p(p-1)z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) \gamma \beta n(n-1)a_n z^n + (1-\gamma)\beta pz^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) (1-\gamma)\beta na_n z^n + (1-\gamma)(1-\beta) \left(z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_n z^n \right)} \right\} > \alpha.$$

Or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)a_n z^n}{\alpha(1+\gamma(p-1))(1+\beta(p-1))z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)\alpha(1+\gamma(n-1))(1+\beta(n-1))a_n z^n} \right\} > 0.$$

This inequality is correct for a $z \in U$. Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ yields

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)a_n \right\} > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)a_n \leq (\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta).$$

Conversely, let (37) hold. We will prove that (36) is correct and then $f \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

By Lemma 2, we put

$$w = \frac{z(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))''}{\gamma z \left[(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))'' \right] + (1-\gamma) \left[\beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + (1-\beta) \mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z) \right]}.$$

Or show that

$$T = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}(z)|} \left| z(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))'' - (p+\alpha)z(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' - (p+\alpha)\gamma(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))'' \right| < Q,$$

where

$$\mathbf{N}(z) = \gamma z \left[(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + \beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))'' \right] + (1-\gamma) \left[\beta z (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z))' + (1-\beta) (\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z)) \right]$$

and it is easy to verify that $Q - T > 0$.

And so the proof is complete.

Corollary 1: If $f \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ then

$$a_n \leq \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)}, \quad n \geq p+1, p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem 2: Let a function $f \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then f is p -valently close-to-convex of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < p$) in the disk $|z| < R_1$, where

$$R_1 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{((p-\rho)(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta))^{1/n-p}}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \right\}, \quad n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The result is sharp, with the external function f given by (38).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p - \rho, \quad 0 \leq \rho < p,$$

for $|z| < R_1$, we have that

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) n a_n |z|^{n-p}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p - \rho,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_n |z|^{n-p}}{p - \rho} \leq 1. \quad (39)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (38) will be true if

$$\frac{1}{p - \rho} |z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)},$$

and hence

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(p-\rho)(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad n \geq p+1; p \in \square.$$

The result is sharp for the function f given by (38).

Theorem 3: Let $f \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then f is p -valently starlike of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < p$) in the disk $|z| < R_2$ where

$$R_2 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(p-\rho)(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)}{(n-p)(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad n \geq p+1; p \in \square.$$

The result is sharp for the function f given by (38).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho, \quad 0 \leq \rho < p,$$

for $|z| < R_2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)(n-p)a_n |z|^{n-p}}{1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{n-p}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n-\rho}{p-\rho} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_n |z|^{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (40)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (40) will be true if

$$\frac{(n-p)}{(p-\rho)} |z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}$$

and hence

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(p-\rho)(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)}{(n-p)(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad n \geq p+1; p \in \square.$$

Setting $|z| = R_2$, we get the desired result.

Theorem 4: Let $f \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then f is p -valently convex of order $(\rho(0) \leq \rho < p)$ in the disk $|z| < R_3$, where

$$R_3 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(p-\rho)(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)}{(n-p)(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad n \geq p+1; p \in \square.$$

The result is sharp with the external function f given by (38).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho, \quad 0 \leq \rho < p,$$

for $|z| < R_3$, we have

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) n(n-p) a_n |z|^{n-p}}{p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_n |z|^{n-p}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\rho)}{p(p-\rho)} \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_n |z|^{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (41)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (41) will be true if

$$\frac{n(n-p)}{p(p-\rho)} |z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}$$

and hence

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{p(p-\rho)(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)}{n(n-p)(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad n \geq p+1; p \in \square.$$

Setting $|z| = R_3$, we get the desired result.

Theorem 5: Let the functions f_r defined by

$$f_r(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n,r} z^n, \quad a_{n,r} \geq 0, \quad n \geq p+1, \quad p \in \square, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, \quad (42)$$

be in the class $J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for every $r = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

Then the function h_l defined by

$$h_l(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} e_n z^n, \quad e_n \geq 0, \quad n \geq p+1, \quad p \in \square,$$

also belongs to the class $J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ where

$$e_n = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{r=1}^l a_{n,r}, \quad n \geq p+1, \quad p \in \square.$$

Proof. Since $f_r \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, it follows from Theorem 1, that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta) \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_{n,r} \leq (\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta),$$

for every $r = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta) \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) e_n \\ &= \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta) \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) \left(\frac{1}{l} \sum_{r=1}^l a_{n,r} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{r=1}^l \left(\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta) \Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_{n,r} \right) \\ &\leq (\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1, it follows that $h_l \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 6: Let the functions f_r defined by (42) be in the class $J_\delta(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for every $r = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Then the function h_2 defined by

$$h_2(z) = \sum_{r=1}^l c_r f_r(z)$$

is also in the class $J_\delta(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where

$$\sum_{r=1}^l c_r = 1, \quad c_r \geq 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, for every $r = 1, 2, \dots, l$, we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)a_{n,r} \leq (\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta).$$

But

$$h_2(z) = \sum_{r=1}^l c_r f_r(z) = \sum_{r=1}^l c_r \left(z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n,r} z^n \right) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=1}^l c_r a_{n,r} \right) z^n.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) \left(\sum_{r=1}^l c_r a_{n,r} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^l c_r \left(\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda, \delta) a_{n,r} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^l c_r (\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta) \\ &= (\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

Theorem 7: Let $\tau > 0$. If $f \in J_\delta(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and suppose that f_s is defined by

$$f_s(z) = z^p - \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)} z^s, \quad s \geq p+1, p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If there exists an analytic function w defined by

$$(w(z))^{s-p} = \frac{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda, \delta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p}.$$

Then for $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $(0 < r < 1)$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\tau d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_s(z)|^\tau d\theta, \quad \tau > 0. \quad (43)$$

Proof. We show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p} \right|^{\tau} d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)} z^{s-p} \right|^{\tau} d\theta.$$

By applying Lemma 1, it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p} < 1 - \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)} z^{s-p}.$$

Set

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p} = 1 - \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)} (w(z))^{s-p}.$$

We find that

$$(w(z))^{s-p} = \frac{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p},$$

which readily yield $w(0) = 0$.

Furthermore using (37), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)|^{s-p} &= \left| \frac{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} a_n \right| \leq |z| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, the proof for the first derivative.

Theorem 8: Let $\tau > 0$. If $f \in J_{\delta}(p, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and

$$f_s(z) = z^p - \frac{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)} z^s, \quad s \geq p+1, p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $(0 < r < 1)$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)|^{\tau} d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f'_s(z)|^{\tau} d\theta, \quad \tau > 0. \quad (44)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} a_n z^{n-p} < 1 - \frac{s(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)}{p(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)} z^{s-p}.$$

This follows because

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)|^{s-p} &= \left| \frac{p(\gamma(s-1)+1)(s-\alpha-(s-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{s,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)}{s(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} a_n z^{n-p} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma(n-1)+1)(n-\alpha-(n-1)\alpha\beta)\Phi_{n,p}^m(\lambda,\delta)}{(\gamma(p-1)+1)(p-\alpha-(p-1)\alpha\beta)} a_n \right| \leq |z| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

3. Conclusion

In the current study, a new subclass of multivalent analytic functions associated with the Borel distribution series and the differential operator has been introduced and investigated. By employing a linear operator defined via the Hadamard product, necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions for functions belonging to the proposed class have been established. Several significant geometric properties of this class have been derived, including sharp coefficient estimates, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, and convexity of a given order. Furthermore, results concerning extreme points, neighborhood properties, and closure under convex linear combinations and integral transforms have been obtained. The sharpness of the main results has been demonstrated by means of appropriate extremal functions.

REFERENCE LIST

- [1] Altunkaya, S., Yalçın, S. (2017). Poisson distribution series for certain subclasses of starlike functions with negative coefficients. *An. Univ. Oradea Fasc. Mat.*, 24(2), 5-8.
- [2] Amini, E., Fardi, M., Zaky, M. A., Lopes, A. M. & Hendy, A. S. (2023). Inclusion properties of p -valent functions associated with Borel distribution functions. *Mathematics*, 11(16), 3511.
- [3] Aqlan, E. S. (2004). Some problems connected with geometric. Function Theory, Ph.D. Thesis, Pune University, Pune.
- [4] El-Deeb, S. M., Bulboacă, T. & Dziok, J. (2019). Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 59(2), 301-314.
- [5] Frasin, B.A., Porwal, S. & Yousef, F. (2021). Subclasses of starlike and convex functions associated with Mittag-Leffler-type Poisson distribution series. *Montes Taurus J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 3(3) 147-154.
- [6] Littlewood, J. E. (1925). On inequalities in the theory of functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 23(2) ,481-519.
- [7] Miller, S. S. & Mocanu, P. T. (2000). Differential subordinations: theory and applications. CRC Press.
- [8] Nazeer, W., Mehmood, Q., Kang, S. M. & Haq, A. U. (2019). An application of Binomial distribution series on certain analytic functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, 26(1), 11-17.
- [9] Porwal, S. & Kumar, M. (2016). A unified study on starlike and convex functions associated with Poisson distribution series. *Afr. Mat.* 27, 10-21.
- [10] Silverman, H. (1975). Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51(1), 109-116.
- [11] Themangani, R., Porwal, S. & Magesh, N. (2020). Generalized hypergeometric distribution and its applications on univalent functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, Art. ID: 249, 1-10.
- [12] Wanas, A. K. & Al-Ziadi, N. A. (2021). Applications of Beta negative binomial distribution series on holomorphic function. *Earthline J. Math. Sci.*, 6(2), 271-292.
- [13] Wanas, A. K. & Khuttar, J. A. (2020). Applications of Borel distribution series on analytic functions. *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 4(1), 71-82.